

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/530,709	C	04/08/2005	Hans-Christoph Magel	R.305060	6972	
2119	7590	06/30/2006		EXAMINER		
RONALD			MCGRAW, TREVOR EDWIN			
	L GREIGG	P.L.L.C. REET, UNIT ONE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANI		•	3752			

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<del></del>			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	(			
Office Action Summary		10/530,709	MAGEL, HANS-CHRISTOPI	Н			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Trevor McGraw	3752				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
	• •	VIC CET TO EVOIDE 2 MONTH	C) OD THIDTY (30) DAVC				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS INSIGNS OF THE MAILING THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 M</u>	arch 2006.					
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 13-24 is/are pending in the application	n.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 13-24 is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	·r.					
,	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau	' ' '	I				
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	<b>;</b> α.				
Attachmen	nt(s)	_					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3752

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Amendment

# Rejection under 35 USC § 112

Applicant's arguments, see pages 5 and 6, filed 03/08/2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 13 under 35 USC § 112 Second Paragraph have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. Examiner indicated Allowable Subject Matter stating that claims 13-24 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims in the previous Office Action mailed to applicant 12/15/2005. However, upon further consideration of prior art, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Magel (DE10218904).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Magel (WO/02/093001).

In regard to claims 13-24, Magel (DE10218904) teaches a fuel injector having a pressure booster (57) with a booster piston (56) that separates a working chamber (58)

Application/Control Number: 10/530,709 Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

from a differential pressure chamber (Figure 4) that can be pressure relieved where the working chamber is continuously acted upon by means of pressure source where in actuation of a Piezoelectric valve causes a opening and closing of hydraulic connection (45) of a differential pressure chamber (Figure 4) with a first and second return on a low pressure side off a high pressure region where a first sealing seat (53) seals a return (44) on the low pressure side from the high pressure region of a valve that has a control chamber with a first and second hydraulic chamber (Figure 4). However, Magel fails to teach a servo valve having a first and second sealing surface for sealing communication of a separate control and hydraulic chamber where the servo valve can be pressure relieved by means of a valve where operation of the valve opens or closes the hydraulic connection (45). In view of applicant's admitted prior art of claim 13, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to combine a well known in the art servo valve with first and second sealing seats to seal a control and hydraulic chamber to the teachings of Magel so as to better control the pressure differential surges within a valve body and keep the injection supply and return processes controlled by two separate valves.

### Claim Objections

Claims 14-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Magel (US 6,892,703), Gurich et al. (US 6,811,103), Boecking (US 6,871,636).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trevor McGraw whose telephone number is (571) 272-7375. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (2nd & 4th Friday Off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on (571) 272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Trevor/McGraw\_

Art Unit 3752

TEM

David A. Scherbel
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700